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Abstract: Based on the modification of some assumptions in the traditional Black-Scholes option pricing model, we construct a model that is closer to the real financial market in this paper. That is to say, in order to make up for the shortages of using the standard Brownian motion to describe the underlying asset price, we use fractional Brownian motion to replace the standard Brownian motion in the traditional Black-Scholes model. At the same time, we assume that the interest rate satisfies the Vasicek interest rate model under fractional Brownian motion. Under the above market model, we use the stochastic analysis method under fractional Brownian motion to obtain the pricing formulae of European simple option and complex option, which generalize the existing conclusions. It is not only can be closer to the actual financial market but also make the research more practical. In addition, since the sensitivity analysis of options refers to the sensitivity or response of options to the change of its determinants, we use numerical methods to analyze the impact of the stock initial price, the chooser date and Hurst parameter on the price of European complex chooser option, which not only verifies the rationality of the pricing formula but also has guiding value for option trading.
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1. Introduction

The chooser options are a kind of strange options that the option holders choose the option as call option or put option at a certain time (i.e. chooser date) during the validity of the option. According to whether strike price and maturity date of the underlying options are the same, the chooser options can be divided into simple chooser option and complex chooser option. In addition, the chooser options can be divided into American option and European option according to whether the underlying options can be exercised in advance. At present, many scholars have been devoted to the study of the pricing of the chooser option. In 1991, reference [1] gave the pricing formula of European standard chooser option under the Black-Scholes model. In 2009, reference [2] discussed the pricing problem of American chooser option. Reference [3] used insurance actuarial method to derive the pricing formula of post-determined option. Reference [4] considered the chooser option pricing of the underlying stock price that satisfies the Heston stochastic volatility model. Reference [5] studied the complex chooser option pricing problem when the stock price follows a continuous generalized exponential O-U process model.

In the above model, the interest rate is a constant or a definite function of time. However, in the real financial market, the interest rate presents randomness, such as the equilibrium interest rate model and the no arbitrage interest rate model [6]. Reference [7] used the partial differential equations models to study the pricing of European gap options under the stochastic interest rate model. Reference [8] studied the pricing of vulnerable options under the jump-diffusion model with the random interest rate.

In recent years, a large number of empirical financial studies have shown that the stock price process has characteristics such as long-term dependence, autocorrelation and "spikes and thick tails". The fractional Brownian motion not only has the above characteristics, but also makes up for the lack of using the standard Brownian motion to describe the underlying asset price. In addition, when $H = \frac{1}{2}$, the fractional Brownian motion is the standard Brownian motion. In reference [9], the pricing formula of European complex
chooser option is given by using quasi-martingale pricing method with the fractional Brownian motion. Reference [10] studied the pricing of compound options with the random interest rate under the fractional Brownian motion.

In this paper, we consider the stock price obeys the stochastic differential equation under the fractional Brownian motion, and the interest rate obeys the Vasicek interest rate model. By using the stochastic analysis theory, we get the pricing formulae of European simple chooser option and complex chooser option, and generalize the existing pricing model, which can be closer to the actual financial market and make the research more practical.

2. Preparatory Knowledge

Definition 1 [11] Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)\) be a probability space and \(H \in (0,1)\) be Hurst parameter. If \(\{B_t(t): t \geq 0\}\) satisfies the following conditions, then the continuous Gaussian process \(\{B_t(t): t \geq 0\}\) is called the fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter:

1) If \(\mathbb{E}[B_t(t)] = 0, t \geq 0\),
2) \(\mathbb{E} \left[ B_t(t)B_s(t) \right] = \frac{1}{2} \{ t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H} \}, \)

where \(\mathbb{E}[\cdot] \) is the expectation about probability \(\mathbb{P}\).

Lemma 1 [12] \(I(X, Y) - N(\mu, \Sigma)\), where \(\mu^\Sigma\mu = \Sigma \rho_{\sigma_\theta, \sigma_Y} \Sigma\), then \(\mathbb{E}[e^{X_e\Sigma_\theta}] = e^{\frac{1}{2} \Sigma \rho_{\sigma_\theta, \sigma_Y} \Sigma}, \)

\[ \mathbb{E}[e^{Y_e\Sigma_\theta}] = e^{\frac{1}{2} \Sigma \rho_{\sigma_\theta, \sigma_Y} \Sigma}, \]

where \(\mathbb{E}[\cdot] \) is the distribution function of the standard normal distribution, and \(\phi(Y) \) is the function of the random variable \(Y\).

3. Market Model

Assuming that there are only two kinds of assets in a continuously tradable, frictionless and arbitrage-free financial market, one is risk-free assets (such as bonds), the other is risk assets (such as stocks). The stock price and interest rate meet the following stochastic differential equations respectively

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{d}S(t) &= S(t)[(r(t)-q)dt + \sigma \text{d}B^S(t)], \quad (1) \\
\text{d}r(t) &= k[\theta - r(t)]dt + \sigma \text{d}B^r(t), \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(q > 0, \sigma > 0\) are constants, which respectively represent the dividend rate of continuous stock payment and the volatility of stock price; \(k, \theta, \sigma\) are constants, and \(k\) is the mean regression speed of interest rate; \(B^S(t), t \geq 0\) and \(B^r(t), t \geq 0\) are independent fractional Brownian motions on the risk-neutral measurement space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)\).

Lemma 2 [10] The solution of the stochastic differential equation (1) is

\[
S(t) = S(0) \exp\left[ \int_0^t (r(s)-q)ds - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \int_0^t ds + \sigma \text{d}B^S(s) \right].
\]

Lemma 3 [13] The solution of the stochastic differential equation (2) is

\[
r(s) = r(t)e^{\theta s} + k \theta \int_S e^{\theta u} du + \sigma \int_0^s e^{\theta u} dB^r(u), \quad (0 \leq t \leq s). \quad (4)
\]

Lemma 4 [14] The call option price with maturity at time \(T\) and strike price \(K\) is given by using quasi-martingale pricing method with the fractional Brownian motion. Refernce [10] and the dividend rate of continuous stock payment and the regression speed of interest rate; the put option price with maturity at time \(T\) and strike price \(K\) is given by using quasi-martingale pricing method with the fractional Brownian motion. Refernce [10] and the dividend rate of continuous stock payment and the regression speed of interest rate; the put option price with maturity at time \(T\) and strike price \(K\) is

\[
C_{t,K,T}(T,S') = P_{t,K,T}(T,S'), \quad (T \leq t \leq T). \quad (5)
\]

Theorem 1 Under the market model (1) (2), the pricing formulae of European call option and put option with maturity at time \(T\) and strike price \(K\) at time \(t\) is

\[
C(t,S(t)) = S(t)e^{-\Theta (T-t)}N(d_1) - Ke^{-\Theta (T-t)}N(d_2),
\]

\[
P(t,S(t)) = Ke^{-\Theta (T-t)}N(-d_2) - S(t)e^{-\Theta (T-t)}N(-d_1),
\]

where

\[
d_1 = \ln \left( \frac{S(t)}{K} \right) + \Theta (T-t) \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (T-t) + \sigma \int_0^t r(s)e^{\theta s} ds, \quad (8)
\]

\[
d_2 = d_1 - \sigma^2 (T-t) + \sigma \int_0^t r(s)e^{\theta s} ds, \quad (9)
\]

\[
D = \left[ \int_t^T r(s)e^{\theta s} ds + k \theta \int_t^T e^{\theta u} du \right], \quad (10)
\]

\[
\sigma^2 = 2 \sigma^2 \int_0^T e^\theta du \int_0^T e^{\theta s} ds \quad (11)
\]

Proof: According to the theory of risk neutral pricing, the price of European call option with maturity at time \(T\) and strike price \(K\) at time \(t\) is

\[
C(t,S(t)) = \mathbb{E}[S(T) - K] \quad (12)
\]

\[
= \mathbb{E}[e^{-\Theta (T-t)} S(T)] - \mathbb{E}[e^{-\Theta (T-t)} K] \quad (12)
\]

\[
\simeq C_1 - C_2.
\]

From Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we get that

\[
S(T) = S(t) \exp \left[ \left( \int_t^T r(s)ds - q(T-t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (T-t) + \sigma \int_0^T B^r(s) - B^r(t) \right) \right], \quad (13)
\]

\[
\int_t^T r(s)ds = \int_t^T r(s)e^{\theta s} ds + k \theta \int_t^T e^{\theta u} du + \sigma \int_0^T e^{\theta u} dB^r(u). \quad (14)
\]

Let

\[
X = \sigma \int_0^T (B^r(T) - B^r(t)), \quad (15)
\]

\[
Y = \sigma \int_0^T e^{\theta u} du \int_0^T e^{\theta s} ds, \quad (16)
\]

\[
Z = X + Y, \quad (17)
\]

\[
\sigma^2 = 2 \sigma^2 \int_0^T e^{\theta u} du \int_0^T e^{\theta s} ds. \quad (18)
\]
\[ D = \int^T_0 r(t)e^{\int^t_0 b(s)ds} + k\theta \int^T_0 e^{\int^t_0 \sigma^2(s)ds}dt, \]  
\[ d = \ln \frac{K}{S(t)} - D + q(T-t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T^0 - t^0). \]  

Since \( \mathbb{P}^n(t), t \geq 0 \) and \( \mathbb{P}^D(t), t \geq 0 \) are independent of each other, \( X \) and \( Y \) are independent of each other. We have that

\[ X \sim N(0, \sigma^2(T^0 - t^0)), \]
\[ Y \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \]
\[ Z = N(0, \sigma^2(T^0 - t^0) + \sigma^2), \]
\[ \text{cov}(X, Z) = \sigma^2(T^0 - t^0), \]
\[ \text{cov}(Y, Z) = \sigma^2. \]

Therefore, the pricing formula for European call option at time \( t \) is

\[ C_t = S(t)e^{-r(T-t)}N(d_1), \]

where

\[ d_1 = \frac{\ln(S(t)/K) - D - q(T-t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T^0 - t^0)}{\sigma \sqrt{(T^0 - t^0)}}. \]

In the same way, we can get that

\[ C_2 = Ke^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2}N(d_2), \]

where

\[ d_2 = d_1 - \sigma \sqrt{(T^0 - t^0) + \sigma^2}. \]

Therefore, the pricing formula for European call option at time \( t \) is

\[ C_t(S(t)) = S(t)e^{-r(T-t)}N(d_1) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T^0 - t^0). \]

4. Chooser Option Price Under The Vasicek Interest Rate Model

Assuming that European call option and put option with maturity at time \( T_i, T_j \) respectively and strike price \( K, K_j \) respectively. We note that \( T_i, T_j \) is the chooser date of European chooser option. According to the definition of European chooser option, the income function of chooser option at time \( t \) is

\[ h(T_i, S(T)) = \max(C_{i,j}(T_i, S(T)), P_{i,j}(T_i, S(T))). \]  

4.1. The Pricing of European Simple Chooser Option

If \( T_i = T_j = T \) and \( K = K_i = K \), it is called European simple chooser option.

Theorem 2 Under the market model (1) (2), the pricing formula of European simple option at time \( t \) is

\[ cco(t, S(t)) = S(t)e^{-r(T-t)}N(d_1) - Ke^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2}N(d_2), \]

where

\[ d_1 = \frac{\ln(S(t)/K) - D - q(T-t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T^0 - t^0)}{\sigma \sqrt{(T^0 - t^0)}}. \]

In the same way, we can get that

\[ d_2 = d_1 - \sigma \sqrt{(T^0 - t^0) + \sigma^2}. \]

Therefore, the pricing formula for European call option at time \( t \) is

\[ C(t, S(t)) = S(t)e^{-r(T-t)}N(d_1) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T^0 - t^0). \]

According to the parity relationship between European call option and put option, the pricing formula of European put option at time \( t \) can be obtained as follows

\[ P(t, S(t)) = Ke^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2}N(-d_2) - S(t)e^{-r(T-t)}N(-d_1). \]
where
\[ D_1 = \int_t^T r(t)e^{\theta u}du + k\theta \int_t^T e^{\theta u}du, \]  
\[ \sigma_i^i = 2H\sigma_1^i \int_t^T \left( \int_t^t e^{\theta u}du \right)^\theta u d\mu, \]  
\[ \sigma_i^i = 2H\sigma_1^i \int_t^T \left( \int_t^t e^{\theta u}du \right)^\theta u d\mu. \]

Obviously, the first item in formula (43) is the price of European call option with maturity at time \( T \) and strike price \( K \), which the calculation result has been given by formula (6). The second item in formula (43) is the price of European put option with the maturity at time \( T \), strike price \( K e^{-\frac{K}{2}T} \) and the underlying stock price \( S(T,Ke^{-\frac{K}{2}T}) \), which the calculation result has been given by formula (7), i.e.,
\[ \mathbb{E}[e^{-rT}\max(0,Ke^{-\frac{K}{2}T} - S(T,Ke^{-\frac{K}{2}T})] \]
\[ = Ke^{-\frac{K}{2}T}e^{-\frac{K}{2}T}N(-d_2) - S(T)e^{-\frac{K}{2}T}e^{-\frac{K}{2}T}N(-d_2) \]
\[ = Ke^{-\frac{K}{2}T}e^{-\frac{K}{2}T}N(-d_2) - S(T)e^{-\frac{K}{2}T}e^{-\frac{K}{2}T}N(-d_2), \]

where
\[ \sigma_i^i = \frac{\ln S(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} + D - \sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^i}{\sqrt{\sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sigma_i^i}}, \]

Theorem 3 Under the market model (1) (2), the pricing formula of European complex option at time \( t(0 \leq t \leq T) \) is
\[ cco(t,S(t)) = S(t)e^{-\frac{K}{2}T}e^{-\frac{K}{2}T}N(a_2,b_2;\rho_2) + Ke^{-\frac{K}{2}T}e^{-\frac{K}{2}T}N(-a_2,-b_2;\rho_2) - S(T)e^{-\frac{K}{2}T}e^{-\frac{K}{2}T}N(-a_2,-b_2;\rho_2), \]
where
\[ a_1 = \frac{\ln S(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B - \sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^i}{\sqrt{\sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sigma_i^i}}, \]
\[ a_2 = a_1 - \sqrt{\sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sigma_i^i}, \]
\[ b_1 = \frac{\ln S(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B - \sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^i}{\sqrt{\sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sigma_i^i}}, \]
\[ b_2 = b_1 - \sqrt{\sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sigma_i^i} + \sigma_i^i, \]
\[ \rho_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sigma_i^i}}{\sqrt{\sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sigma_i^i}}, \]
\[ \rho_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sigma_i^i}}{\sqrt{\sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sigma_i^i}}, \]
\[ B = \int_t^T r(t)e^{\theta u}du + k\theta \int_t^T e^{\theta u}du, \]
\[ B_1 = \int_t^T r(t)e^{\theta u}du + k\theta \int_t^T e^{\theta u}du, \]
\[ d_1 = d_1 - \sqrt{\sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sigma_i^i}, \]
\[ d_2 = d_2 - \sqrt{\sigma_i^i (T^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sigma_i^i}, \]

4.2. The Pricing of European Complex Chooser Option

If \( T \neq T_0 \) or \( K' \neq K \), it is called European complex chooser option.

Theorem 3 Under the market model (1) (2), the pricing formula of European complex option at time \( t(0 \leq t \leq T) \) is:
\[
B_1 = \int_0^T r(t)e^{\mu t}dt + k\theta\int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds,
\]
\[
\sigma_1^2 = 2H\sigma^2 \int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds,
\]
\[
\sigma_1^2 - 2H\sigma^2 \int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds + 2H\sigma^2 \int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds,
\]
\[
\sigma_1^2 - 2H\sigma^2 \int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds + 2H\sigma^2 \int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds,
\]
\[
N(x, y; \rho) \text{ is the cumulative distribution function of the two-dimensional standard normal distribution.}
\]

**Proof:** According to the theory of risk neutral pricing, formula (33), Lemma 4 and Theorem 1, we have that
\[
CCO(x, S(t))
= \mathbb{E}[e^{-\delta t}\max\{C_{t,x}(T, S(T)), P_{t,x}(T, S(T))\}]
= \mathbb{E}[e^{-\delta t}\max\{C_{t,x}(T, S(T)), P_{t,x}(T, S(T))\}]
= \mathbb{E}[e^{-\delta t}\max\{C_{t,x}(T, S(T)), P_{t,x}(T, S(T))\}]
+ \mathbb{E}[e^{-\delta t}\sigma_x\sigma_y N(-d_2(T, T, K_i)|d_{1,x} - d_{1,x})]
- \mathbb{E}[e^{-\delta t}\sigma_x\sigma_y N(-d_2(T, T, K_i)|d_{1,x} - d_{1,x})]
\]
\[
\pm 1 - I_1 + I_1 - I_1.
\]

where
\[
D_1 = \int_0^T r(t)e^{\mu t}dt + k\theta\int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds,
\]
\[
D_2 = \int_0^T r(t)e^{\mu t}dt + k\theta\int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds,
\]
\[
\sigma_1^2 = 2H\sigma^2 \int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds + 2H\sigma^2 \int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds,
\]
\[
\sigma_1^2 = 2H\sigma^2 \int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds + 2H\sigma^2 \int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds.
\]

Let
\[
B = \int_0^T r(t)e^{\mu t}dt + k\theta\int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds,
\]
\[
d = \ln\frac{S_0}{S(t)} + q(T, t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(T_2^{\mu} - t^{\mu}),
\]
\[
X = \sigma_x[B^\mu + B^\mu(t)],
\]
\[
Y = \sigma_y \int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds(a),
\]
\[
Z = X + Y,
\]
\[
\sigma_1^2 = 2H\sigma^2 \int_0^T \int e^{\delta t}du
ds.
\]

Since \(X\) and \(Y\) are independent of each other, there are
\[
X - N(0, \sigma_1^2(T_2^{\mu} - t^{\mu})),
\]
\[
Y - N(0, \sigma_1^2),
\]
\[
Z - N(0, \sigma_1^2(T_2^{\mu} - t^{\mu}) + \sigma_1^2),
\]
\[
\text{cov}(X, Z) = \sigma_1^2(T_2^{\mu} - t^{\mu}),
\]
\[
\text{cov}(Y, Z) = \sigma_1^2.
\]

So
\[
S(T) \geq S \iff Z \geq d,
\]
1) Firstly, we compute $I_1, I_2$.

\[ I_1 = \mathbb{E}[e^{\int_{T}^{T_2} - r(t) dt} S(T_2) e^{w(t) N(d(T_2, T_1, K_2))} 1_{(T_1, T_2, K_2)}] \]

\[ = S(T) e^{-r(T) \tau} \mathbb{E}[e^{w(t) N(d(T, T_1, K_2))} 1_{(T_1, T_2, K_2)}] \]

Using lemma 1 (2) to transform $d(T, T_1, K_2)$ equivalently as follows.

\[ d(T, T_1, K_2) = \ln \left( \frac{S(T)}{K_2} \right) + (T_1 - T) \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 (T_1 - t)^2 + Z \]

where

\[ b_1 = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{S(T)}{K_2} \right) + (T_1 - T) \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 (T_1 - t)^2 + Z}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_1^2}} \]

\[ \rho_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 (T_1 - t)^2 + \sigma_2^2 (T_1 - t)^2}}{\rho} \]

\[ B_1 = \int T_1^T e^{\rho Z dt} + k \theta T_1^T \int e^{\rho Z dt} dZ. \]

We have that

\[ I_1 = S(T) e^{-r(T) \tau} \int e^{\rho Z dt} + k \theta T_1^T \int e^{\rho Z dt} dZ. \]

\[ = S(T) e^{-r(T) \tau} N(\sigma_1, b_1, \rho_1). \]

where

\[ a_1 = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{S(T)}{K_2} \right) + (T_1 - T) \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 (T_1 - t)^2 + Z}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_1^2}} \]

Since

\[ I_4 = \mathbb{E}[e^{\int_{T}^{T_2} - r(t) dt} S(T_2) e^{w(t) N(-d(T_2, T_1, K_2))} 1_{(T_1, T_2, K_2)}] \]

similar to the calculation process of $I_1$, we get that

\[ I_4 = S(T) e^{-r(T) \tau} N(-a_1, -b_1, \rho_2), \]

where

\[ a_2 = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{S(T)}{K_2} \right) + (T_1 - T) \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 (T_1 - t)^2 + Z}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_2^2}} \]

\[ b_2 = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{S(T)}{K_2} \right) + (T_1 - T) \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 (T_1 - t)^2 + Z}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_2^2}} \]

\[ \rho_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 (T_1 - t)^2 + \sigma_2^2 (T_1 - t)^2}}{\rho} \]
\[ B_t = \int_0^t r(s) e^{r(s) ds} + k \theta \int_0^t e^{r(s) ds}. \]  

(96)

2) Now, we compute \( I_1, I_2 \).

\[
I_1 = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\int_0^{T_s} \theta \sigma(s) ds} \mathbb{E}[N(d_1(T_s, T_k, K))] | \mathcal{F}_{T_s}] \\
= K e^{-\int_0^{T_s} \theta \sigma(s) ds} \mathbb{E}[N(d_1(T_s, T_k, K))] | \mathcal{F}_{T_s}].
\]

(97)

Using lemma 1 to transform \( d_1(T_s, T_k, K) \) equivalently as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
&= \sqrt{2 \rho \sigma \sigma Y Y} \int_{(a_i, b_j, \rho \rho)} e^{-\int_0^{T_s} \theta \sigma(s) ds} N(a_i, b_j, \rho \rho) \\
&= K e^{-\int_0^{T_s} \theta \sigma(s) ds} \mathbb{E}[N(a_i, b_j, \rho \rho)].
\end{align*}
\]

(98)

where

\[ b_2 = b_1 - \sqrt{\sigma_i^2 (T_{11}^s - t_{11}^s)} + \sigma_i^2 + \sigma_i'. \]  

(99)

We have that

\[
I_2 = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\int_0^{T_s} \theta \sigma(s) ds} \mathbb{E}[N(d_1(T_s, T_k, K))] | \mathcal{F}_{T_s}] \\
= K e^{-\int_0^{T_s} \theta \sigma(s) ds} \mathbb{E}[N(a_1, b_1, \rho \rho)].
\]

(100)

where

\[ a_1 = a_0 - \sqrt{\sigma_i^2 (T_{21}^s - t_{21}^s)} + \sigma_i^2. \]  

(101)

Since

\[
I_2 = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\int_0^{T_s} \theta \sigma(s) ds} \mathbb{E}[N(d_1(T_s, T_k, K))] | \mathcal{F}_{T_s}] \\
= K e^{-\int_0^{T_s} \theta \sigma(s) ds} \mathbb{E}[N(a_1, b_1, \rho \rho)].
\]

(102)

similar to the calculation process of \( I_1 \), we get that

\[ I_3 = K e^{-\int_0^{T_s} \theta \sigma(s) ds} \mathbb{E}[N(a_2, b_2, \rho \rho)], \]  

(103)

where

\[ b_3 = b_2 - \sqrt{\sigma_i^2 (T_{21}^s - t_{21}^s)} + \sigma_i^2 + \sigma_i'. \]  

(104)

Therefore, the pricing formula for European complex chooser option at time \( t(0 \leq t \leq T_s) \) is

\[
\begin{align*}
&= \mathbb{E}[e^{-\int_0^{T_s} \theta \sigma(s) ds} \mathbb{E}[N(d_1(T_s, T_k, K))] | \mathcal{F}_{T_s}] \\
&= K e^{-\int_0^{T_s} \theta \sigma(s) ds} \mathbb{E}[N(a_1, b_1, \rho \rho)].
\end{align*}
\]

(105)

4.3. Inference

Inference 1 When \( k \to 0, \theta = 0, \sigma = 0 \), Theorem 2 is the result of Theorem 5 in reference [15]. Especially, when \( H = \frac{1}{2} \)

Theorem 2 is the result of reference [16].

Inference 2 When \( k \to 0, \theta = 0, \sigma = 0, q = 0 \), Theorem 3 is the result of Theorem 1 in reference [9]. In particular, when \( H = \frac{1}{2} \),

Theorem 3 is the pricing formula of European complex options under the standard Brownian motion.

5. Numerical Analysis

In this section, we use numerical methods to explain the influence of the initial stock price \( s_0 \), the chooser date \( T_c \) and Hurst parameter \( H \) on European complex chooser option price. The parameter values in model (1) (2) are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>( K_1 )</th>
<th>( K_2 )</th>
<th>( T_1 )</th>
<th>( T_2 )</th>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( r_0 )</th>
<th>( q )</th>
<th>( \sigma_1 )</th>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>( \sigma_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Parameter values in model

Table 2 compares the option prices under the fractional Black Scholes model (see [15]) and the Vasicek interest rate model when the chooser date of complex chooser option is \( T_c = 2 \). Compared with the pricing formula under the fractional Black-Scholes model, the pricing formula derived by Theorem 3 in this paper that considers the mean reversion of interest rate, which makes the pricing result more consistent with the real financial environment. It can be found that the option price obtained by Theorem 3 has great differences with the pricing result of reference [15], that is to say, the result of the Vasicek interest rate model is higher than the fractional Black Scholes model. In addition, when Hurst parameter is determined, as the stock price \( s_0 \) increases, the price of chooser option decreases first and then increases, which is beneficial for option holders to buy or sell stock at an appropriate time. Finally, when the stock price \( s_0 \) is determined, as Hurst parameter increases, the price of chooser option increases.
Table 2. Comparison of the influence of stock price $S_0$ on option price CCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock Price</th>
<th>Fractional Black-Scholes $H = 0.3$</th>
<th>$H = 0.5$</th>
<th>$H = 0.7$</th>
<th>Vasicek $H = 0.3$</th>
<th>$H = 0.5$</th>
<th>$H = 0.7$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>43.9429</td>
<td>45.952</td>
<td>48.8785</td>
<td>58.8408</td>
<td>60.3617</td>
<td>63.9781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>37.0911</td>
<td>40.6834</td>
<td>44.8456</td>
<td>51.3769</td>
<td>54.8254</td>
<td>60.3052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>32.0754</td>
<td>37.2571</td>
<td>42.5435</td>
<td>45.5959</td>
<td>51.1685</td>
<td>57.7804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>29.0892</td>
<td>35.6296</td>
<td>41.9106</td>
<td>41.6870</td>
<td>49.1123</td>
<td>56.2059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>28.8933</td>
<td>35.6595</td>
<td>42.7778</td>
<td>39.9981</td>
<td>50.2416</td>
<td>56.9181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>31.5555</td>
<td>37.1502</td>
<td>44.9390</td>
<td>39.0622</td>
<td>48.8148</td>
<td>55.7819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>34.9237</td>
<td>43.6906</td>
<td>52.3511</td>
<td>42.1768</td>
<td>52.5684</td>
<td>58.9151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>39.6646</td>
<td>48.3671</td>
<td>62.7983</td>
<td>45.4282</td>
<td>59.5706</td>
<td>61.7205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>45.2750</td>
<td>53.7722</td>
<td>62.7983</td>
<td>49.6012</td>
<td>59.5706</td>
<td>65.2698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>48.8933</td>
<td>57.2571</td>
<td>62.7983</td>
<td>49.6012</td>
<td>59.5706</td>
<td>65.2698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taking stock price $S_0 = 80$ for example. Figure 1 analyzes the influence of chooser date $c_T$ on the price of complex chooser option. It can be found that when $c_T$ changes from 1.1 to 2, as Hurst parameter $H$ increases, the price of complex chooser option increases.

![Figure 1. The influence of chooser date $c_T$ and Hurst parameter $H$ on option price $CCO$ under the Vasicek Model.](image)

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we consider two cases: interest rate is stochastic and asset price is stochastic differential equation driven by fractional Brownian motion. The rationality of Theorem 3 is verified by numerical analysis. The results show that Theorem 3 can be used to solve the option price of European complex chooser option when the interest rate and stock price are stochastic differential equations under fractional Brownian motion, and generalize the conclusions in some literature, which makes the option price closer to the actual financial market and has guiding value in option trading.
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